

AMOUNTS RETURNED

Application no.	Scheme	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £	2017/18 £	Reasons
2001/0215/02	Anstey, Bradgate Road - traffic calming	5,354					Completion of the delivery of the obligation took place on 06/12/2006. By managing the risks around the delivery the scheme did not require the whole contribution.
2006/12/04	Earl Shilton, Candle Lane - bus service/passes	25,251					Completion of the delivery of the obligation took place on 27/03/2009. By managing the risks around the delivery the scheme did not require the whole contribution. The remaining contribution related to bus passes where take up/applications were poor.
2001/0048/08	Wigston, Harcourt Road - bus passes	10,910					No or limited take up of passes
2004/0999/02	Barrow, Bridge Street - cyleway		20,000				The obligation was delivered by the developer so the funding was returned.
2009/0635/06	Ashby, Loughborough Road - bus passes		17,600				No or limited take up of passes
2011/1213/02	Barrow, Nursery Grove - bus passes		3,958				No or limited take up of passes
2004/0994/04	Earl Shilton, Montgomery Road - bus passes			82,941			No or limited take up of passes
2009/0485/07	Ashby, Smisby Road - bus passes				48,231		No or limited take up of passes
2012/0646/07	Measham, Bosworth Rd - bus Passes				10,686		No or limited take up of passes
2004/0319/03	Kibworth, Warwick Rd - annual bus contribution				324,000		Bus Operator Arriva took a commercial decision to route their X3 service through this development before the S106 monies could be utilised and therefore negating the need to procure a service with the S106 monies. Essentially 2 years worth of the contribution were used towards the Centrebus service 44, which routed through the development but the other 3 years were not needed because of the commercial service.
2010/1772/02	Sileby, Stanage Rd - bus passes					14,104	No or limited take up of passes
2014/0499/01 & 2014/0502/04	Glenfield, The Brant - bus passes					10,987	No or limited take up of passes
2001/0181/03	Farndon Fields - bus services					260,288	Internal road layouts within the estate were not ready to facilitate a bus service, in part due to the fact that the development wasn't rolled out at the expected rate (due to the demand for houses at that development). The developer was asked if they would extend the time the contribution could be spent. However, they declined and asked for the unspent contribution to be repaid which was unavoidable due to the S106 agreement.
2006/0135/06	Melton, Doctors Lane - traffic calming					61,283	Early consultation did not support the delivery of a scheme. Local members undertook consultations to develop a revised scheme. However, due to lack of consensus of opinion the scheme was unable to be delivered before the obligation expired.
Total		41,515	41,558	82,941	382,917	346,662	

This page is intentionally left blank